

1. WINANS v. PUCCINELLI SC20080018

Demurrer to Cross-Complaint

TENTATIVE RULING # 1: A FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT HAVING BEEN FILED,
THE MATTER IS ORDERED OFF CALENDAR.

2. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD v. ERICKSON SC20030082

Plaintiff's Motion for Assignment Order

On March 11, 2003 plaintiff obtained a judgment against Thomas Erickson, owner of a gas station called "Tahoe Tom's." He has paid \$18,000 but there remains an outstanding balance, plus interest, in the amount of \$73,111.

The operator of Tahoe Tom's is Mohammad Ahmed. This motion, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 708.510 et seq., seeks assignment of rental payments due or to become due Erickson from Ahmed and to make a quarterly accounting for all funds received in connection with the subject of the assignment.

TENTATIVE RULING # 2: THIS MATTER IS CONTINUED TO FRIDAY, JUNE 13, 2008 AT 1:30 P.M.

3. JAMES v. NIEMANN SC20030178

Plaintiff's Motion to Tax Costs

TENTATIVE RULING # 3: ON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION, THE MATTER IS CONTINUED TO
FRIDAY, JUNE 6, 2008 AT 1:30 PM.

4. VAN SICKLE v. GILBERT SC20040093

Plaintiff's Motion for Order Confirming Sale of Real Property

TENTATIVE RULING # 4: THE MOTION IS GRANTED.

5. HERNANDEZ v. BARTON HEALTHCARE PC20070340

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel

According to a letter dated April 24, 2008, defendant's counsel indicates that the responses to interrogatories have been served. The motion has not been taken off calendar by plaintiff's attorney.

TENTATIVE RULING #5: APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

6. PERSOLVE v. ENGLEHARDT SCL20070242

Review Hearing (Collection Case)

The case was filed August 27, 2007 and no proof of service has been filed.

TENTATIVE RULING # 6: IF PROOF OF SERVICE HAS NOT BEEN FILED PRIOR TO THE HEARING, THE PERSONAL APPEARANCE OF STEPHANIE SCHIERN IS REQUIRED. NO TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE WILL BE PERMITTED.

7. REID v. BELL PC20070304

OSC re: Dismissal

TENTATIVE RULING # 7: THE COURT HAVING BEEN ADVISED THAT THE MATTER HAS SETTLED AND NO DISMISSAL HAVING BEEN FILED, THE PERSONAL APPEARANCE OF PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL WILL BE REQUIRED IF NO DISMISSAL IS FILED PRIOR TO 4 PM THURSDAY, MAY 8, 2008. NO TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE WILL BE PERMITTED. IF A DISMISSAL HAS BEEN FILED BY THE ABOVE DATE AND TIME NO APPEARANCE WILL BE REQUIRED AND THE MATTER WILL BE ORDERED OFF CALENDAR.

8. SIERRA RECEIVABLES MANAGEMENT, INC. V TAKAKI

Notice Of Hearing On Claim Of Exemption

TENTATIVE RULING # 9: APPEARANCES REQUIRED